Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA)

Atty Paul Conrad, FEMA OGC



NDMS 2004

"It is the sense of Congress that the Federal Government should be a model employer in carrying out the provisions of this chapter."

Title 38, United States Code Section 4301(b)



NDMS 2004

RESERVE COMPONENT/NDMS PRESERVATION ACT

\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

 $\star\star\star\star\star\star\star\star$

NDMS 2004

Overview

- Who gets protections
- What are the protections
- How are they enforced



NDMS 2004

- A civilian (non-NDMS) job
 - -Not Independent Contractor [Consultant/Law firm Partner/Union Officer]



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
 - -All employers
 - -Federal
 - -State
 - -Private



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
 - Oral or written
 - Hint: Written/Certified Mail or Email w/ Receipt is best!



NDMS 2004

- -A civilian job
- Prior notice to Employer
 - -Oral or written

By NDMS member or Team Chief, Deputy Team Chief



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice to Employer
 - -Limited military necessity exception-
 - -See 42 USC § 300hh-11(e)(3)(B) - NDMS Members



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence
 - -Cumulative
 - -Some service excluded
 - Includes VRRA absences



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence
- Honorable conditions



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence
- Honorable conditions
 - -Proof?



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence
- Honorable conditions
- Report back in a timely manner



NDMS 2004

Reporting rules

- Up to 30 days: safe travel + 8 hours
- •31 180 days: w/in 14 days
- •181 days or more: w/in 90 days
- Or else . . .



NDMS 2004

- A civilian job
- Prior notice
- Less than five years absence
- Honorable conditions
- Report back in a timely manner

 \star

NDMS 2004

- Who gets protections
- What are the protections
- How are they enforced



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage
- Training or other accommodations
- Special protection from discharge
- Other nonseniority benefits



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
 - -"Escalator" Principle
 - Fishgold v. Sullivan Drydock and Repair Corp., 328 U.S. 275 (1946)



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
 - Pension benefits



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage



NDMS 2004

- -Prompt reinstatement
- -Status
- -Accrued seniority
- -Health insurance coverage
 - -During NDMS service



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage
 - Upon return to civilian job



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage
- Training & accommodation



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage
- Training & accommodation
- Special protection against discharge



NDMS 2004

- Prompt reinstatement
- Status
- Accrued seniority
- Health insurance coverage
- Training or other accommodations
- Special protection from discharge
- Other nonseniority benefits



NDMS 2004

Anti-Discrimination Provision

- Employers cannot discriminate in hiring, reemployment, retention, promotion or any employment benefit because of military [NDMS] service [Sec. 4311]
- Title VII Analysis- "<u>A motivating</u>
 <u>factor</u>" -- not sole motivating factor
- No reprisals

NDMS 2004

- Applies to Active and Reserve military members and former military (vets), and NDMS Members but not vet's widows or spouses.
- No exhaustion of remedies requirement, but if DOL-VETs is still investigating the case, the MSPB won't act until the investigation is completed.



NDMS 2004

- Federal Employees may use a USERRA discrim claim as an affirmative defense against the agency, in challenging an adverse action under 5 USC 7701(c)(2) (C).
- Such a defense may be implied by the factual record, w/o the claimant raising USERRA, provided the claimant shows:

- He performed current or prior duty in the military forces or NDMS or has current /past military NDMS status.
- He was denied a benefit of employment.
- That the denial may have been based upon his duty in the military forces/NDMS.
- WHY? Any federal agency adverse action that fails to address an employee's military/NDMS status is not a lawful agency action.



NDMS 2004

- USERRA military status discrimination claim may be proved by direct evidence (<u>Jasper</u>) or indirect circumstantial evidence (<u>Duncan</u>).
- MSPB Administrative judge must make finding of USERRA jurisdiction based upon the record--giving notice to claimant of two types of proof and time to develop possible claim.



NDMS 2004

- Who gets protections
- What are the protections
- How are they enforced



NDMS 2004

Enforcement



NDMS 2004

Enforcement

- DoD: National Committee for Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (ESGR)
- **1-800-336-4590**

NDMS 2004

Enforcement

- ESGR-NDMS Ombudsman
 - Barbara Spurlock
- DoL: Veterans Employment and Training Service (VETS)
 - -1-202-693-4701(Mr. Torrans)
 - -Email:

Torrans.William@dol.gov

NDMS 2004



Enforcement

- NCESGR
- VETS
- Civil suit
 - -By DoJ or OSC [Fed EE's]
 - -Private action



NDMS 2004

Private Action against Federal Employer Covered by Section 4324 of the Act and 5

- Covered by Section 4324 of the Act and 5
 C.F.R. Parts 200 and 1201
- Prevailing Party may be awarded reinstatement, reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees, and court costs.
- Representation by Office of Special Counsel before MSPB or private counsel
- Federal Court may use full equitable powers of TRO, injunction, and contempt orders to get employer to comply with Act



NDMS 2004

Private Action against Local Government or Private Employer

- Covered by Section 4323 of the Act
- Prevailing Party may be awarded reasonable attorney fees, expert witness fees, and court costs.
- Willful violation = double damages
- Federal Court may use full equitable powers of TRO, injunction, and contempt orders to get employer to comply with Act
- Problems for State Employees

NDMS 2004

Private Action against State Government Employer

- Covered by Section 4323 of the Act
- Recent U.S. Supreme Court cases prevent State Employee from suing State Gov't Employer-must request DOJ to sue on behalf of the United States, and proceeds are provided by DOJ to the individual upon winning or settling lawsuit
- Willful violation = double damages
- Federal Court may use full equitable powers of TRO, injunction, and contempt orders to get employer to comply with Act
 NDMS 2004

Employer Defenses [Section 4312(d)]

- Employer Change in Circumstances [Impossibility Defense]
- Undue Hardship on Employer
- Brief and Nonrecurrent Employment
- Waiver or Estoppel
- Laches

 \star

NDMS 2004

- "Hostile Work Environment" because of military or NDMS status cases- MSPB and Federal Courts? <u>Petersen v. Dep't of</u> <u>Interior- MSPB-Yes. Fed Courts-Possibly No. Church v. City of Reno</u> case
- Blanket "Key Employee" designations by federal agencies, e.g., FBI, Secret Service upheld. <u>Dew</u> and <u>Thomsen</u> cases.



NDMS 2004

USERRA AMENDMENTS of 1998

- Fix for State Employee lawsuits against States [Sovereign Immunity- Eleventh Amendment Issue] <u>Alden v. Maine</u>---Unconstitutional?? [38 USC 4323]
- Extra-Territorial Extension of USERRA protections for American owned corporations and Federal employees worldwide [64 F.R. 31485 (11 Jun 99)]. [38 USC 4319]
 - INCLUDES AAFEES Employees



NDMS 2004

- Veterans' Benefit Improvement Act of 1996 -- Vacation Pay/Time Provision [Sec. 4316(d)] & Graham v. Hall-McMillen
- NDMS member specific USERRA coverage- per 42 U.S.C. § 300hh-11(e)(3)(A) for federally activated deployments (voluntary) and federally mandated NDMS training.

Jury Trials?? <u>Spratt v. Guardian</u>
 <u>Automotive</u> [Non-Federal Employee
 cases only]



NDMS 2004

- Problem Areas
 - Few, if any, employers/Human Relations staffs or employment attorneys aware that NDMS members are covered under USERRA
 - Notification of ER regarding USERRA rights, obligations, and enforcement
 - Should you tell your employer of your NDMS status?



NDMS 2004

- Problem Areas
 - No court or MSPB cases regarding NDMS USERRA issues
 - -Enforcement, Where Cases Not Voluntarily Settled Weak
 - Federal Employees and OSC- New Policy by Special Counsel to Enforce USERRA for

Federal Workers-Special Counsel Bloch Testimony-House Vet's Affairs Committee-

June 23, 2004

State Employees and DOJ

NDMS 2004



THEEND

THANK YOU for your attention!

ATTY Paul Conrad, FEMA OGC

EMAIL: paul.conrad1@dhs.gov



NDMS 2004

Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act

Atty Paul E. Conrad, FEMA OGC



NDMS 2004